

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment filed 3/0/2009 is entered. Claims 1-33 were previously canceled. Claims 34, 37, 40, 45 are currently amended. Claims 34-83 are pending for examination.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with the attorney Mr. Daniel J. Stanger on 6/3/2009 and 5/20/2009.

The application has been amended as follows:

"

1. – 33. (previously canceled)

34. (currently amended) An auction method of determining a successful bidder for a single kind of product or products, the auction method being executed in a server computer connected with a plurality of client computers via a network, each client computer belonging to a respective bidder, the auction method comprising the steps of:

a) transmitting, from the server computer, information on a product to be

auctioned to the plurality of client computers via the network;

a') establishing, in the server computer, a current auction price against which

bids are judged;

Deleted: price information for

b) receiving, in the server computer, a rules set including at least one rule defining participation in the auction by a bidder, from each of the plurality of client computers via the network;

Deleted:

Formatted: Indent: First line: 36 pt

b') determining, in the server computer, and based on the rules set received for each bidder, a desired auction price that a bidder is willing to bid for the product, optionally with a maximum allowed price greater than said desired auction price that a bidder is willing to bid;

Deleted: thinks acceptable to pay

Deleted: from each of the plurality of client computers via the network

c) judging, in the server computer, whether the current auction price is equal to or lower than the desired auction price determined according to the rules set received in step b) from each of the plurality of client computers that the bidder is willing to bid, for each bidder;

Deleted: thinks acceptable to pay

d) determining, in the server computer, each remaining bidder who has sent the rules set by which it is judged that the current auction price is equal to or lower than the desired auction price judged in step c);

Deleted: price information

e) judging, in the server computer, whether a competitive state occurs or not, based on the amount of products to be auctioned and the sum of amounts of products that the bidders desire to purchase, wherein a state in which there is more than one remaining bidder as a result of said determining in step d) is defined as a competitive state;

f) in response to a judgment in step e) that the competitive state occurs, increasing, in the server computer, the current auction price and repeating steps c), d), and e) using the increased current auction price as the current auction price, wherein the repeating of steps c), d), and e) is performed until it is determined in step e) that no competitive state occurs;

wherein if the current auction price is greater than the desired auction price upon repeating step c), the repeated step c) judges whether the current auction price is equal to or lower than the maximum allowed price if present in the rules set as determined according to the rules set received in step b) from each of the plurality of client computers that the bidder is willing to bid, for each bidder, and the repeated step d) determines each remaining bidder if the current auction price exceeds the maximum allowed price judged in step c); and

g) in response to a judgment in step e) the competitive state does not occur, determining, in the server computer, the remaining bidder as a successful bidder,

Deleted: f)
Deleted: that a
Deleted: ; and
g) in response to a judgment in step e) that a competitive state occurs, increasing the auction price by a predetermined value and repeating steps c), d), and e)

35. (currently canceled)

36. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 34, further comprising the steps of:

h) determining, in the server computer, an abandoned bidder who sent the rules set by which it is judged that the current auction price is higher than the desired auction price or maximum allowed price judged in step c); and

Deleted: price information

i) excluding the abandoned bidder.

37. (currently amended) An auction device of determining a successful bidder for a single kind of product or products, the auction device being connected with a plurality of client computers via a network, each client computer belonging to a respective bidder, the auction device comprising:

first means for transmitting information on a product to be auctioned to the plurality of client computers via the network;

establishing means for establishing a current auction price against which bids are judged;

second means for receiving a rules set including at least one rule defining participation in the auction by a bidder from each of the plurality of client computers via the network;

determining means for determining, based on the rules set received for each bidder, a desired auction price that a bidder is willing to bid for the product, optionally with a maximum allowed price greater than said desired auction price that a bidder is willing to bid;

third means for judging whether the current auction price is equal to or lower than the desired auction price determined according to the rules set received by the second means from each of the plurality of client computers that the bidder is willing to bid, for each bidder;

Deleted: price information

Formatted: Indent: First line: 36 pt

Deleted: thinks acceptable to pay

Deleted: from each of the plurality of client computers via the network

Deleted: whether a

Deleted: thinks acceptable to pay

fourth means for determining each remaining bidder who has sent the rules set
by which it is judged that the current auction price is equal to or lower than the desired
auction price judged in the third means;

fifth means for judging whether a competitive state occurs or not, based on the
amount of products to be auctioned and the sum of amounts of products that the

bidders desire to purchase, wherein a state in which there is more than one remaining
bidder as a result of said determining in step d) is defined as a competitive state;

wherein in response to a judgment by the fifth means that the competitive state
occurs, the third, fourth, and fifth means are repeatedly executed using an increased
current auction price as the current auction price, wherein the repeated execution of the
third, fourth, and fifth means is performed until it is judged by the fifth means that no
competitive state occurs;

wherein if the current auction price is greater than the desired auction price upon
repeating execution of the third means, the repeated execution of the third means
judges whether the current auction price is equal to or lower than the maximum allowed
price if present in the rules set as determined according to the rules set received by the
second means from each of the plurality of client computers that the bidder is willing to
bid, for each bidder, and the repeated execution of the fourth means determines each
remaining bidder if the current auction price exceeds the maximum allowed price judged
by the third means; and

sixth means for, in response to judgment by the fifth means that the competitive
state does not occur, determining the remaining bidder as a successful bidder,

Deleted: price information

Deleted: in

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: wherein, in response to
judgment in the fifth means that the
competitive state occurs, the third,
fourth, and fifth means are repeatedly
executed with the auction price
increased by a predetermined value

38. (currently canceled)

39. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 37, further comprising:

seventh means for determining an abandoned bidder who sent the ~~rules set~~ by which it is judged ~~by~~ the third means that the current auction price is higher than the desired auction price or maximum allowed price ~~judged~~ by the third means; and

eighth means for excluding the abandoned bidder.

Deleted: price information

Deleted: in

40. (currently amended) An auction method of determining a successful bidder for a single kind of product or products, the auction method being executed in a server computer connected with a plurality of client computers via a network, each client computer belonging to a respective bidder, the auction method comprising the steps of:

a) transmitting, from the server computer, information on a product to be auctioned to the plurality of client computers via the network;

~~a')~~ establishing, in the server computer, a current auction price against which bids are judged; b) receiving, in the server computer, a rules set for ordering the product including at least one rule defining participation in the auction by a bidder, from each of the plurality of client computers via the network;

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: order information

c) judging, in the server computer, for each bidder, and based on the rules set received in step b), whether the current auction price is equal to or lower than a desired auction price that the bidder is willing to bid for the product,;

Deleted: whether a

d) determining, in the server computer, each remaining bidder who has sent the rules set based on which it is judged that the current auction price is equal to or lower than the desired auction price judged in step c);

Deleted: a price that a bidder thinks acceptable to pay

e) judging, in the server computer, whether there is more than one remaining bidder as a result of said determining in step d), wherein a state in which there is more than one remaining bidder as a result of said determining in step d) is defined as a competitive state;

Deleted: based on the order information received in step b)

f) in response to a judgment in step e) that there is a competitive state, increasing, in the server computer, the current auction price and repeating steps c), d), and e) using the increased current auction price as the current auction price, wherein the repeating of steps c), d), and e) is performed until it is judged in step e) that there is no competitive state;

Deleted: order information

wherein if the current auction price is greater than the desired auction price upon repeating step c), the repeated step c) judges whether the current auction price is equal to or lower than a maximum allowed price if present in the rules set as determined according to the rules set received in step b) from each of the plurality of client computers that the bidder is willing to bid, for each bidder, and the repeated step d) determines each remaining bidder if the current auction price exceeds the maximum allowed price judged in step c); and g) in response to a judgment in step e) that

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: f)

Deleted: the

~~there is no competitive state, determining, in the server computer, the remaining bidder as a successful bidder.~~

Deleted: does not occur.

Deleted: ; and

Deleted: g) in response to a judgment in step e) that the competitive state occurs, increasing the auction price by a predetermined value and repeating steps c), d), and e)

41. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 40, wherein the ~~maximum allowed price~~ is a sum total of the ~~desired auction price~~ with which the bidder ~~is willing to pay~~ to purchase the product and an acceptable price which the bidder accepts to pay in addition to the ~~desired auction price~~, wherein the rules set includes the ~~desired auction price~~ and the acceptable price.

Deleted: that a bidder thinks acceptable to pay

Deleted: a

Deleted: desires

42-43. (currently canceled)

44. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 40, further comprising the steps of:

h) determining, in the server computer, an abandoned bidder who sent the ~~rules~~ set by which it is judged that the current auction price is higher than the ~~desired auction price or maximum allowed price~~ ~~judged~~ in step c); and

Deleted: order information

i) excluding the abandoned bidder.

45. (currently amended) An auction device for determining a successful bidder for a single kind of product or products, the auction device being connected with a

plurality of client computers via a network, each client computer belonging to a respective bidder, the auction device comprising:

first means for transmitting information on a product to be auctioned to the plurality of client computers via the network;

establishing means for establishing a current auction price against which bids are judged;

second means for receiving a rules set including at least one rule defining participation in the auction by a bidder, from each of the plurality of client computers via the network;

third means for judging, for each bidder and based on the rules set received by the second means, whether the current auction price is equal to or lower than a desired auction price that the bidder is willing to bid for the product;

fourth means for determining each remaining bidder who has sent the rules set by which it is judged that the current auction price is equal to or lower than the desired auction price judged by the third means;

fifth means for judging whether there is more than one remaining bidder as a result of said determining by said fourth means, wherein a state in which there is more than one remaining bidder as a result of said determining is defined as a competitive state;

wherein in response to a judgment by the fifth means that there is a competitive state, the third, fourth, and fifth means are repeatedly executed using an increased current auction price as the current auction price, wherein the repeated execution of the

Deleted: order information

Deleted: whether a

Deleted: a price that the bidder thinks acceptable to pay

Deleted: , based on the order information received by the second means

Deleted: order information

Deleted: in

Deleted: determining

Deleted: and

third, fourth, and fifth means is performed until it is judged by the fifth means that there is no competitive state;

wherein if the current auction price is greater than the desired auction price upon repeated execution by the third means, the repeated execution of the third means judges whether the current auction price is equal to or lower than the maximum allowed price if present in the rules set as determined according to the rules set received by the second means from each of the plurality of client computers that the bidder is willing to bid, for each bidder, and the repeated execution of the fourth means determines each remaining bidder if the current auction price exceeds the maximum allowed price judged by the third means; and

sixth means for, in response to judgment by the fifth means that there is no competitive state, determining the remaining bidder as a successful bidder,

Deleted: in
Deleted: the
Deleted: does not occur

46. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 45, wherein the maximum allowed price is a sum total of the desired auction price with which the bidder desires to purchase the product and an acceptable price which the bidder accepts to pay in addition to the desired auction price, wherein the rules set includes the desired auction price and the acceptable price.

Deleted: wherein, in response to judgment in the fifth means that the competitive state occurs, the third, fourth, and fifth means are repeatedly executed with the auction price increased by a predetermined value

Deleted: that a bidder thinks acceptable to pay
Deleted: of a

47-48. (currently canceled)

49. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 45, further comprising:

seventh means for determining an abandoned bidder who sent the rules set by which it is judged by the third means that the current auction price is higher than the desired auction price or maximum allowed price judged by the third means; and

eighth means for excluding the abandoned bidder.

Deleted: order information

Deleted: in

50. (previously presented) An auction method in accordance with claim 34, wherein a plurality of products are auctioned and a plurality of successful bidders are determined in the auction method.

51. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 34, wherein in step f), the current auction price is increased by a predetermined value; and

wherein the server computer holds the predetermined value.

52. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 34, further comprising the steps, in the server computer, of:

reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists;

checking whether at least one bidder exists,

determining the existing bidder as a successful bidder if one bidder exists, and

further reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists and repeating the
checking, determining, and further reducing steps.

Deleted: and succeeding

53. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 34, further
comprising the steps, in the server computer, of:

reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists;

checking whether at least one bidder exists,

determining the existing bidder as a successful bidder if one bidder exists, and

further reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists and repeating the
checking, determining, and further reducing steps,

wherein the server computer determines that the bidder exists when the current
auction price is reduced to the desired auction price of the bidder.

Deleted: and succeeding

Deleted: reaches

54. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 34,

wherein, in the step e), it is determined that a competitive state occurs when the
sum of amounts of products that the bidders desire to purchase is larger than the
amount of products to be auctioned.

Deleted: claim 35

Deleted: the

Deleted: are

55. (previously presented) An auction method in accordance with claim 54,
wherein, in the step e), if the total of (1) the sum of minimum desired amounts of
bidders who are included in the bidders each having a nonzero desired amount and
who do not purchase for an amount less than a minimum desired amount, (2) the

number of bidders each having a minimum desired amount equal to zero, and (3) the number of bidders who purchase even if the amount is less than the minimum desired amount, is equal to or less than the remaining quantity of the products, the server computer determines that the competitive state does not occur.

56. (previously presented) An auction device in accordance with claim 37, wherein a plurality of products are auctioned and a plurality of successful bidders are determined.

57. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 37, wherein the current auction price is increased by a predetermined value to become the increased current auction price; and wherein the auction device further comprises means for holding the predetermined value.

Deleted: further comprising

58. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 37, further comprising seventh means for reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists; checking whether at least one bidder exists, determining the existing bidder as a successful bidder if one bidder exists, and further reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists and repeating the checking, determining, and further reducing processes.

Deleted: and succeeding

59. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with ~~claim 37~~, further comprising seventh means for

Deleted: claim 38

reducing the ~~current~~ auction price if no bidder exists;

checking whether at least one bidder exists,

determining the existing bidder as a successful bidder if one bidder exists, and

further reducing the ~~current~~ auction price if no bidder exists and repeating the checking, ~~determining~~, and further reducing processes,

Deleted: and succeeding

wherein the seventh means determines that the bidder exists when the ~~current~~ auction price ~~is reduced to the desired auction~~ price of the bidder.

Deleted: reaches

60. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 37,

wherein the fifth means determines that the competitive state occurs when the sum of amounts of products that the bidders desire to purchase ~~is~~ larger than the amount of products to be auctioned.

Deleted: are

61. (previously presented) An auction device in accordance with claim 60,
wherein the fifth means determines that the competitive state does not occur if the total sum of (1) the sum of minimum desired amounts of bidders who are included in the bidders each having a nonzero desired amount and who do not purchase for an amount less than the desired amount, (2) the number of bidders each having a minimum desired amount equal to zero, and (3) the number of bidders who purchase

even if the amount is less than the desired amount, is equal to or less than the remaining quantity of the products.

62. (previously presented) An auction method in accordance with claim 40, wherein a plurality of products are auctioned and a plurality of successful bidders are determined in the auction method.

63. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 40, wherein in step f), the current auction price is increased by a predetermined value; and wherein the server computer holds the predetermined value.

64. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 40, further comprising the steps, in the server computer, of:
reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists;
checking whether at least one bidder exists,
determining the existing bidder as a successful bidder if one bidder exists, and
further reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists and repeating the
checking, determining, and further reducing steps.

Deleted: and succeeding

65. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 41, further comprising the steps, in the server computer, of:

reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists;
checking whether at least one bidder exists,
determining the existing bidder as a successful bidder if one bidder exists, and
further reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists and repeating the
checking, determining, and further reducing steps,
wherein the server computer determines that the bidder exists when the current
auction price is reduced to the desired auction price of the bidder.

Deleted: and succeeding

Deleted: reaches

66. (previously presented) An auction method in accordance with claim 40,
wherein, in the step e), whether the competitive state occurs or not is determined
based on the amount of products to be auctioned and the sum of amounts of products
that the bidders desire to purchase.

67. (previously presented) An auction method in accordance with claim 66,
wherein, in the step e), if the total of (1) the sum of minimum desired amounts of
bidders who are included in bidders each having a nonzero desired amount, (2) the
number of bidders each having a minimum desired amount equal to zero, and (3) the
number of bidders who purchase even if the amount is less than the minimum desired
amount, is equal to or less than the remaining quantity of the products, the server
computer determines that the competitive state does not occur.

68. (previously presented) An auction device in accordance with claim 45,
wherein a plurality of products are auctioned and a plurality of successful bidders
are determined.

69. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 45,
~~wherein the current auction price is increased by a predetermined value to~~
~~become the increased current auction price; and~~
~~wherein the auction device further comprises, means for holding the~~
predetermined values.

Deleted: further comprising

70. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 45, further
comprising seventh means for
reducing the ~~current~~ auction price if no bidder exists;
checking whether at least one bidder exists,
determining the existing bidder as a successful bidder if one bidder exists, and
further reducing the ~~current~~ auction price if no bidder exists and repeating the
checking, determining, and further reducing processes.

Deleted: and succeeding

71. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 46, further
comprising seventh means for
reducing the ~~current~~ auction price if no bidder exists;
checking whether at least one bidder exists,

determining the existing bidder as a successful bidder if one bidder exists, and further reducing the current auction price if no bidder exists and repeating the checking, determining, and further reducing processes.

Deleted: and succeeding

wherein the seventh means determines that the bidder exists when the current auction price is reduced to the desired auction price of the bidder.

Deleted: reaches

72. (previously presented) An auction device in accordance with claim 45, wherein the fifth means determines whether the competitive state occurs or not based on the amount of products to be auctioned and the sum of amounts of products that the bidders desire to purchase.

73. (previously presented) An auction device in accordance with claim 72, wherein the fifth means determines the competitive state does not occur if the total sum of (1) the sum of minimum desired amounts of bidders who are included in bidders each having a nonzero desired amount and who do not purchase for an amount less than a minimum desired amount, (2) the number of bidders each having a minimum desired amount equal to zero, and (3) the number of bidders who purchase even if the amount is less than the minimum desired amount, is equal to or less than the remaining quantity of products.

74. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 34,

wherein the step f) further includes the step of determining a successful price of the successful bidder, and wherein the successful price is equal to or less than the ~~desired auction price or maximum allowed price that the successful bidder is willing to bid for the product and higher than the current auction price, judged in step c)~~ before the competitive state is resolved by the ~~increased current auction price~~.

Deleted: thinks acceptable to pay
Deleted: set
Deleted: predetermined value

75. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 37, wherein the sixth means determines a successful price of the successful bidder, and wherein the successful price is equal to or less than the ~~desired auction price or maximum allowed price that the successful bidder is willing to bid for the product and higher than the current auction price, judged by the third means just before the competitive state is resolved by the increased current auction price~~.

Deleted: thinks acceptable to pay
Deleted: set
Deleted: predetermined value

76. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 40, wherein the step f) further includes the step of determining a successful price of the successful bidder, and wherein the successful price is equal to or less than the ~~desired auction price or maximum allowed price that the successful bidder is willing to bid for the product and higher than the current auction price, judged in step c) just before the competitive state is resolved by increased current auction price~~.

Deleted: thinks acceptable to pay
Deleted: set
Deleted: the predetermined value

77. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 45,

wherein the sixth means determines a successful price of the successful bidder, and wherein the successful price is equal to or less than the desired auction price or maximum allowed price that the successful bidder is willing to bid for the product and higher than the current auction price, judged by the third means just before the competitive state is resolved by the increased current auction price.

Deleted: thinks acceptable to pay

Deleted: set

Deleted: predetermined value

78. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 34, further comprising the step of:

j) displaying, during the auction, a transaction process when the competitive state is resolved and a transaction process during the competitive state before the competitive state is resolved.

Deleted: solved

Deleted: solved

79. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 37, further comprising:

ninth means for displaying, during the auction, a transaction process when the competitive state is resolved and a transaction process during the competitive state before the competitive state is resolved.

Deleted: solved

Deleted: solved

80. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 40, further comprising the steps of:

j) displaying, during the auction, a transaction process when the competitive state is resolved and a transaction process during the competitive state before the competitive state is resolved.

Deleted: solved

Deleted: solved

81. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 45, further comprising:

ninth means for displaying, during the auction, a transaction process when the competitive state is resolved and a transaction process during the competitive state before the competitive state is resolved.

Deleted: solved

Deleted: solved

82. (currently amended) An auction method in accordance with claim 40, wherein, when the plural bidders transmit the same rules set in step d), the products are allocated to the bidders in order of time of transmission of the rules set from the client computer to the server.

Deleted: order information

Deleted: order information

83. (currently amended) An auction device in accordance with claim 45, wherein, when the plural bidders transmit the same rules set, the fourth means allocates the products to the bidders in order of time of transmission of the rules set from the client computer to the server.

Deleted: order information

Deleted: order information

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 34, 36-37, 39-41, 44-46, 49-83 are allowed of which claims 34, 37, 40 and 45 are independent claims and the rest are their dependencies.

With reference claim 34, the prior art of record, alone or combined, does neither anticipate nor render obvious an auction method executed in a server computer connected with a plurality of client computers via a network, each client computer belonging to a respective bidder, the auction method comprising the steps of, as a whole:

a) transmitting, from the server computer, information on a product to be auctioned to the plurality of client computers via the network, establishing, in the server computer, a current auction price against which bids are judged, b) receiving, in the server computer, a rules set including at least one rule defining participation in the auction by a bidder, from each of the plurality of client computers via the network, determining, in the server computer, and based on the rules set received for each bidder, a desired auction price that a bidder is willing to bid for the product, optionally with a maximum allowed price greater than said desired auction price that a bidder is willing to bid, c) judging, in the server computer, whether the current auction price is equal to or lower than the desired auction price determined according to the rules set received in step b) from each of the plurality of client computers that the bidder is willing to bid, for each bidder, d) determining, in the server computer, each remaining bidder who has sent the rules set by which it is judged that the current auction price is equal to or lower than the desired auction price judged in step c), e) judging, in the server

computer, whether a competitive state occurs or not, based on the amount of products to be auctioned and the sum of amounts of products that the bidders desire to purchase, wherein a state in which there is more than one remaining bidder as a result of said determining in step d) is defined as a competitive state, f) in response to a judgment in step e) that the competitive state occurs, increasing, in the server computer, the current auction price and repeating steps c), d), and e) using the increased current auction price as the current auction price, wherein the repeating of steps c), d), and e) is performed until it is determined in step e) that no competitive state occurs, wherein if the current auction price is greater than the desired auction price upon repeating step c), the repeated step c) judges whether the current auction price is equal to or lower than the maximum allowed price if present in the rules set as determined according to the rules set received in step b) from each of the plurality of client computers that the bidder is willing to bid, for each bidder, and the repeated step d) determines each remaining bidder if the current auction price exceeds the maximum allowed price judged in step c), and g) in response to a judgment in step e) the competitive state does not occur, determining, in the server computer, the remaining bidder as a successful bidder.

Since limitations of claims 37, 40 and 45 are closely parallel they are also allowed on the basis of same rationale as set forth for claim 34 above. All dependent claims are also allowed on the basis of same rationale as set forth for claim 34 above.

4. Discussion of best prior art: The applicant's arguments, Remarks filed 3/30/2009 (pages 20-23) are persuasive and compelling that Ausubel, US Patent number 5,905,975 does not teach or render obvious all the limitations recited in independent claims 34, 37, 40 and 45 as currently amended.

5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh C. Garg whose telephone number is 571-272-6756. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex/Hoteling.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yogesh C Garg/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625